3198

MM-003 Phase 3 Study of Pomalidomide in Combination With Low-Dose Dexamethasone (POM + LoDEX) vs. High-Dose Dexamethasone (HiDEX) in Relapsed/Refractory Multiple Myeloma (RRMM): POM + LoDEX Is Beneficial For Elderly Patients (> 65 Years of Age)

Katja Weisel,¹ Jesús F. San Miguel,² Kevin W. Song,³ Michel Delforge,⁴ Lionel Karlin,⁵ Hartmut Goldschmidt,⁶ Philippe Moreau,⁷ Anne Banos,⁸ Albert Oriol,⁹ Laurent Garderet,¹⁰ Michele Cavo,¹¹ Valentina Ivanova,¹² Adrian Alegre,¹³ Joaquin Martinez-Lopez¹⁴ Christine I. Chen,¹⁵ Stefan Knop,¹⁶ Xin Yu,¹⁷ Latisha Watkins,¹⁷ Lars Sternas,¹⁷ Mohamed H. Zaki,¹⁷ Christian Jacques,¹⁷ and Meletios A. Dimopoulos¹⁸

¹Hematology, Department of Hematology, Department of Hematology, University Hospital Leuven, Elgium; ⁵Centre Hospital University Hospital Leuven, Selamanca, Spain; ³Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; ⁴Department of Hematology, University Hospital Leuven, Belgium; ⁵Centre Hospital Leuven, Selamanca, Spain; ³Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; ⁴Department of Hematology, University Hospital Leuven, Belgium; ⁵Centre Hospital Leuven, Belgium; ⁵Centre Hospital Leuven, Belgium; ⁵Centre Hospital Leuven, Belgium; ⁶Centre Hospital Leuven, Belgium; ¹⁰Hopital Saint Antoine, Paris, France; ¹¹Institute of Hematology, Centre Hospital S.P. Botkin, Moscow, Russia; ¹³Hospital University School of Medicine, Bologna, Italy; ¹²GUZ Moscow City Clinical Hospital S.P. Botkin, Moscow, Russia; ¹³Hospital University School of Medicine, Bologna, Italy; ¹²GUZ Moscow, Russia; ¹³Hospital Universitario de La Princesa, ¹⁴Hospital S.P. Botkin, Moscow, Russia; ¹³Hospital S.P. Botkin, Moscow, Russia; ¹³Hospital University School of Medical Oncology, Bologna, Italy; ¹²GUZ Moscow, Russia; ¹⁴Hospital S.P. Botkin, Moscow, Russia; ¹³Hospital University School of Medical Oncology, Bologna, Italy; ¹²GUZ Moscow, Russia; ¹⁴Hospital S.P. Botkin, Moscow, Russia; ¹⁴Hospital University School of Medical Oncology, Bologna, Italy; ¹²GUZ Moscow, Russia; ¹⁴Hospital S.P. Botkin, Moscow, Russia; ¹⁴Hospital S Madrid, Spain; ¹⁴Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; ¹⁵Princess Margaret Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ¹⁶Hematology and Oncology, Würzburg, Germany; ¹⁷Celgene Corporation, Summit, NJ; ¹⁸Alexandra Hospital, Athens, Greece

INTRODUCTION

- In multiple myeloma (MM), patient (pt) survival decreases with increased age¹
- MM pts refractory to lenalidomide (LEN) or thalidomide and bortezomib (BORT) have a poor
- POM is a distinct oral IMiD[®] immunomodulatory agent with a mechanism of action consisting of direct anti-myeloma activity, immune modulation, and microenvironmental effects³
- In the phase 2 setting, POM + LoDEX has demonstrated clinical efficacy and acceptable tolerability in elderly pts with RRMM⁴
- POM was recently approved by the US FDA and EU EMA for the treatment (Tx) of RRMM^{5,6} - US: Pts who have received \geq 2 prior Tx, including LEN and BORT and have demonstrated disease progression on or within 60 days of last Tx⁵
- EU: In combination with DEX in RRMM pts who have received ≥ 2 prior Tx, including LEN and BORT and have demonstrated disease progression on last Tx⁶
- MM-003 has demonstrated significant progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) benefits for POM + LoDEX vs. HiDEX, despite half of HiDEX pts subsequently receiving POM⁷

OBJECTIVES

- This analysis examined pt outcomes in MM-003 based on age: – ≤ 65 yrs vs. > 65 yrs
- ≤ 70 yrs vs. > 70 yrs (efficacy only)

METHODS

MM-003 trial design is shown in Figure 1



required for all pts receiving POM and those at high risk of thromboembolic events.

^a Progression of disease was independently adjudicated in real time AE, adverse event; HiDEX, high-dose dexamethasone; LoDEX, low-dose dexamethasone; OS, overall survival; PD, progressive disease; POM, pomalidomide; pt, patient; SPM, second primary malignancy.

Study Endpoints

- The primary endpoint was PFS
- Secondary endpoints included OS, overall response rate (ORR; \geq partial response [PR]), quality of life, and safety

Key Eligibility Criteria

- All pts had to be refractory to last therapy
- All pts must have received at least 2 prior Tx
- ≥ 2 consecutive cycles of LEN and BORT (alone or in combination) - Adequate prior alkylator Tx (stem cell transplant [SCT] or \geq 6 cycles or progressive disease [PD] following ≥ 2 cycles)
- All pts must have failed BORT and LEN
- Pt progressed on or within 60 days
- Pt with PR must have progressed within 6 mos
- Intolerant to BORT after completing ≥ 2 cycles and achieving \leq minimal response (MR) Refractory or relapsed and refractory disease
- Primary refractory: Never achieved better than PD to any Tx
- Relapsed and refractory: Relapsed after having achieved \geq stable disease (SD) for \geq 2 cycles of Tx to at least 1 prior regimen and then developed PD \leq 60 days of completing their last Tx



METHODS (cont)

Assessments

- Response was assessed using IMWG and EBMT criteria (for MR)
- Adverse event (AE) severity was graded according to the NCI CTCAE v 4.0
- Median follow-up: 15.4 mos Last pt enrolled: August 2012

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

- A total of 302 pts received POM + LoDEX; and 153 pts received HiDEX
- Since only 8% of POM + LoDEX and HiDEX pts were aged > 75 yrs, this analysis focused on pts aged ≤ 65 yrs vs. > 65 yrs
- An additional cut-off of 70 yrs was included as an exploratory efficacy analysis
- Characteristics were similar across all subgroups with the exception of prior SCT, renal function, and disease stage (Table 1)
- (creatinine clearance [CrCl] \geq 60 mL/min), and less advanced disease than pts aged > 65
- For pts aged > 65 yrs, HiDEX pts were more likely to have CrCl < 60 mL/min than POM + LoDEX pts
- Pts were heavily pretreated with a median of 5 prior Tx in all groups

Table 1. Base haracteristic Median age (range), yrs Male (%) Median time from initial CrCl < 60 mL/min (%) ECOG status 0/1/2/3 (% ISS stage at study entry Median prior Tx, n (range Prior LEN/BORT/DE Prior SCT (%) LEN refractory (% BORT refractory (% LEN and BORT refrac

Presence of del(17p)/t BORT, bortezomib: CrCI, creatinine clearance; del. deletion: DEX, dexamethasone; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; HiDEX, high-dose dexamethasone; ISS, International Staging System; LEN, Ienalidomide; LoDEX, Iow-dose dexamethasone; POM, pomalidomide; SCT, stem cell transplant; t, translocation; Tx, treatment.

PFS & Survival Outcomes

- OS was favorable for POM + LoDEX vs. HiDEX by age (Figures 4 and 5)

Data cut-off: September 1, 2013

- 45% of POM + LoDEX pts and 47% of HiDEX pts were aged > 65 yrs
- Pts aged \leq 65 yrs were more likely to have prior SCT, better renal function

	Age ≤ 6	Age ≤ 65 yrs		Age > 65 yrs		
	POM + LoDEX (n = 167)	HiDEX (n = 81)	POM + LoDEX (n = 135)	HiDEX (n = 72)		
	59 (35-65)	59 (35-65)	72 (66-84)	71 (66-87)		
	61	63	59	50		
diagnosis (yrs)	5.9	6.3	5.0	5.7		
	22	23	44	56		
ý)	38/47/15/0	30/49/16/2	34/44/20/0	17/64/17/1		
/ / / (%)	29/40/26	27/37/32	24/36/36	19/36/38		
je)	5 (2-14)	5 (2-17)	5 (2-11)	5 (2-10)		
X (%)	100/100/97	100/100/99	100/100/99	100/100/100		
	92	90	45	44		
	94	93	96	92		
)	79	80	79	78		
actory (%)	74	74	75	74		
1;14) (%)	26	20	24	26		

• POM + LoDEX significantly extended PFS vs. HiDEX regardless of age, consistent with the overall MM-003 population (Figures 2 and 3)

- A large proportion of HiDEX pts received POM after HiDEX
- − Pts aged \leq 65 yrs: 60%
- Pts aged > 65 yrs: 50%
- Pts aged ≤ 70 yrs: 58%
- Pts aged > 70 yrs: 49%

RESULTS (cont)





^a Number of events/number of pts. CI, confidence interval; HiDEX, high-dose dexamethasone; HR, hazard ratio; ITT, intent-to-treat; LoDEX, low-dose dexamethasone; PFS, progression-free survival; POM, pomalidomide

Figure 4. OS for Pts Aged \leq 65 yrs (A) or > 65 yrs (B) and \leq 70 yrs (C) or > 70 yrs (D)



CI, confidence interval; HiDEX, high-dose dexamethasone; HR, hazard ratio; ITT, intent-to-treat; LoDEX, low-dose dexamethasone; OS, overall survival POM, pomalidomide



s and ≤ 70 yrs or	r > 70 yrs	
POM + LoDEX ^a	HiDEX ^a	HR (95% CI)
176 / 302	101 / 153	0.72 (0.56-0.92)
94 / 167	51 / 81	0.72 (0.51-1.02)
82 / 135	50 / 72	0.73 (0.51-1.04)
130 / 224	69 / 112	0.82 (0.61-1.09)
46 / 78	32 / 41	0.50 (0.32-0.80)

Favors HiDEX

RESULTS (cont)

Response

- POM + LoDEX significantly improved ORR vs. HiDEX regardless of age (Figure 6; P < .001 for all comparisons)
- Duration of response (≥ PR) was consistent by age and significantly longer for POM + LoDEX vs. HiDEX in pts aged > 65 yrs and > 70 yrs
- Pts aged ≤ 65 yrs: 7.5 mos vs. 6.1 mos (P = .320)
- Pts aged > 65 yrs: 7.6 mos vs. 5.1 (P = .038)
- Pts aged ≤ 70 yrs: 7.4 mos vs. 6.1 mos (P = .177)
- Pts aged > 70 yrs: 9.7 mos vs. 5.1 (P = .029)



Note: Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

HiDEX, high-dose dexamethasone: LoDEX, low-dose dexamethasone; ORR, overall response rate; POM, pomalidomide; PR, partial response; VGPR, very good partial response

Adverse Events (AEs)

- The most common grade 3/4 AEs for both age groups (≤ 65 yrs and > 65 yrs) were neutropenia, anemia, and infections (Table 2)
- The POM + LoDEX safety profile was generally consistent by age
- Thrombocytopenia appeared to be higher for pts aged ≤ 65 yrs vs. pts aged > 65 yrs Incidence of pneumonia appeared to be lower in the younger age group
- Study discontinuation due to AE in the POM + LoDEX arm was 6% for pts aged \leq 65 yrs vs. 13% for pts aged > 65 yrs

Table 2. Safety Profile					
	Age ≤ 65 yrs		Age > 65 yrs		
Event	POM + LoDEX (n = 167)	HiDEX ^a (n = 79)	POM + LoDEX (n = 133)	HiDEX ^a (n = 71)	
Grade 3/4 hematologic AEs in ≥ 10% of pts (%)					
Neutropenia	51	22	45	13	
Febrile neutropenia	12	0	6	0	
Anemia	35	41	30	37	
Thrombocytopenia	28	27	16	25	
Grade 3/4 nonhematologic AEs in ≥ 10% of pts (%	%)				
Infections	34	20	31	30	
Pneumonia	12	5	17	11	
Grade 3/4 AEs of interest (%)					
DVT/PE	1	0	2	0	
Peripheral neuropathy ^b	1	3	2	0	
Discontinuation due to AEs (%)	6	10	13	11	

^a Pts may have received POM + LoDEX following crossove

^b Peripheral neuropathy includes the preferred terms "hyperaesthesia," "neuropathy peripheral," "peripheral sensory neuropathy," "paraesthesia," AE, adverse event; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; HiDEX, high-dose dexamethasone; LoDEX, low-dose dexamethasone; PE, pulmonary embolism; POM, pomalidomide: pt. patient.

POM Duration of Tx and Dose Modifications Due to AEs

• Median duration of POM Tx was similar in pts aged ≤ 65 yrs (4.4 mos) and > 65 yrs (4.0 mos) (Table 3)

- Frequency of dose reductions and interruptions was not affected by age
- Median relative dose intensity was consistent at 90% for both age groups

3	5%			
2				
3	0	RR: 7	%	
		7		
Lo 78	DEX	HiDEX (n = 41	_	
	, 70 γι	-		

RI	ESU	5 (C	on	t)	

Variable	≤ 65 yrs (n = 167)	> 65 yrs (n = 133)
POM dose modifications due to AEs (%)		(11 – 133)
Interruption	63	73
Reduction	26	30
Discontinuation	7	11
POM dose intensity		
Planned POM dose/day, mg	4	4
Median relative dose intensity, ^a mg (range)	0.9 (0.3-1.3)	0.9 (0.3-1.3)
Median duration of treatment, mos (range)	4.4 (0.1-25.6)	4.0 (0.1-26.3)

Relative dose intensity = dose intensity/planned dose intensity AE, adverse event; POM, pomalidomide

CONCLUSIONS

- POM + LoDEX significantly extended PFS compared with HiDEX with similar benefits across age groups
- OS results were similar to those of the overall pt population, and favored POM + LoDEX in age subgroups
- Duration of treatment and dose intensity were not affected by age
- Tolerability profiles were consistent for $pts \le 65$ yrs and > 65 yrs There is no need for upfront dose reduction in pts aged > 65 yrs
- POM at 4 mg is an appropriate starting dose for elderly pts
- These data support using POM + LoDEX as a standard Tx option in RRMM pts regardless of age

REFERENCES

- . Pulte D, et al. *Oncologist*. 2011;16:1600-1603.
- Kumar SK, et al. *Leukemia*. 2012;26:149-157. 3. Quach H. et al. *Leukemia*. 2010:24:22-32
- . Jagannath S, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31 [poster presentation; abstract 8532]
- . Pomalyst (pomalidomide) [prescribing information]. Summit, NJ: Celgene Corporation; 2013.
- . Imnovid (pomalidomide) [summary of prescribing information]. Uxbridge, UK: Celgene Europe Ltd; 2013.
- . San Miguel JF, et al. *Lancet Oncol*. 2013;14:1055-1066.

DISCLOSURES

- KW: Consultant Celgene, Janssen; Honoraria Celgene and Janssen; Research funding Celgene JFSM: Consultant – Celgene, Janssen, Millennium, Novartis, and Onyx; Honoraria – Celgene, Janssen, Millenium, Novartis, and Onyx; Member of board of directors or advisory committee – Celgene, Janssen, Millennium, Novartis, and
- KWS: Honoraria Celgene: Member of board of directors or advisory committee Celgene; Research funding Celgene; Member of speakers bureau – Celgene
- MD: Honoraria Celgene
- LK: Member of export board committee Celgene; Honoraria Celgene, Janssen • HG: Consultant – Celgene, Janssen, and Novartis; Honoraria – Celgene, Janssen, and Novartis; Research funding Celgene. Janssen. and Novartis
- PM: Honoraria Celgene; Member of speakers bureau Celgene
- AB, LG, VI: No relevant financial relationships to disclose • AO: Consultant – Celgene
- MC: Consultant Celgene, Janssen, Millennium, Onyx, and Bristol-Myers Squibb; Honoraria Celgene, Janssen, Millennium. Onvx. and Bristol-Mvers Squibb: Member of board of directors or advisory committee – Celgene, Janssen, Millennium, Onvx, and Bristol-Myers Squibb
- AA: Member of board of directors or advisory committee Celgene, Janssen
- JML: Honoraria Celgene; Research funding Celgene • CIC: Consultant – Celgene; Honoraria – Celgene; Research funding – Celgene
- SK: Honoraria Celgene
- XY, LW, LS, MHZ, CJ: Employed by Celgene; owns equity in Celgene MAD: Honoraria – Celgene; Member of board of directors or advisory committee – Celgene

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the financial support for this study from Celgene Corporation. The authors received editorial assistance from MediTech Media (Nicola Hanson, PhD and Richard Balzer, PhD), and printing support from MediTech Media, sponsored by Celgene Corporation



Scan this QR code to receive the PDF file of the poster